

The Standing Committee of European Doctors (CPME) represents national medical associations across Europe. We are committed to contributing the medical profession's point of view to EU and European policy-making through pro-active cooperation on a wide range of health and healthcare related issues.

CPME response to the EDQM request for comments on a Draft Council of Europe Guidance Document on Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings

On 25 April 2024, the CPME Board adopted the 'EDQM request for comments on a Draft Council of Europe Guidance Document on Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings' (CPME 2024/083).

Annex I: draft response to the consultation

Annex II: draft Council of Europe Guidance Document on Traceability of Medicines in Hospital

Annex I

(* This question is mandatory)

* **1. From which sector are you responding?** Choose one of the following answers

Internationalorganisation

National Competent Authority / Regulator Patient or Patient Safety Association

PharmacistAssociation

Medical Profession Association

Industry Association

Other (please specify)

* **2. What type of interested party do you represent?**

Choose one of the following answers

European interested parties

National interested parties

* **3. What is the name of your organisation?**

Standing Committee of European Doctors (CPME)

* **4. Contact information (email address)**

diogo.teixeira.pereira@cpme.eu

* **5. Do you think that the document addresses the issue of Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings in a comprehensive way?**

Choose one of the following answers

Yes

No

Unsure

If No or Unsure, please explain:

-

*** 6. Do you think that the document is clear?**

Choose one of the following answers

Yes

No

Unsure

If No or Unsure, please explain:

-

*** 7. Do you think that the document is adapted for potential future developments in terms of the digitalisation of healthcare processes?**

Choose one of the following answers

Yes

No

Unsure

If No or Unsure, please explain:

*** 8. Do you think that the document presents any issues in terms of conflicting requirements with existing national guidance and/or legislation?**

Choose one of the following answers

Yes

No

Unsure

If No or Unsure, please explain:

-

*** 9. Do you think that the document is missing any elements of practice from your own area (e.g. national competent authority and regulator, hospital, industry)?**

Choose one of the following answers

Yes

No

Unsure

If No or Unsure, please explain:

-

*** 10. Do you think that the document provides sufficient guidance for its implementation by all interested parties (policy-makers and regulators, industry, hospital boards, hospital IT managers and service providers, hospital quality management departments, hospital pharmacists, other healthcare professionals in the hospital such as physicians and nurses, healthcare payments bodies such as NHS or insurance companies, patients)?**

Choose one of the following answers

Yes

No

Unsure

If No or Unsure, please explain:

If you have any comments on specific parts of the document, please use the following sections.

Use the given tables and put a clear reference and line number you are commenting on, and, if applicable, make concrete suggestions for alternative wording.

17. Section 7 - Processes

| | Lines concerned by comment | Comment type – Editorial/Technical/Other | Comment on the text (enter comment) | Suggested text (enter text) |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| Comment 1 | 547 | Editorial | Doctors have the authority to prescribe medications, possess clinical expertise to ensure appropriate usage, advocate for patient’s health and collaborate with healthcare teams. Consequently, doctors should also be included as ambassadors of safe medication errors together with hospital pharmacists. | Hospital pharmacists and Doctors. |

27. Section 17 – Definitions

| | Lines concerned by comment | Comment type – Editorial/Technical/Other | Comment on text (enter comment) | Suggested text (enter text) |
|------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Comment 1 | 282-283 | Editorial | It is important to underline, following the | Any mistake in ordering, prescribing, |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | EMA definition of medication error, that a medication error lead or has the potential to lead to harm the patient. | dispensing, administering or monitoring (the effect of) a medication that can lead or has the potential to lead to harm to the patient. |
|--|--|--|--|--|

Annex II: draft Council of Europe Guidance Document on Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings

Annex II



DRAFT
Version 27 Mar 2024

Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings

Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings

Background

The European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines & HealthCare (EDQM) is a Directorate of the Council of Europe, an intergovernmental organisation based in Strasbourg, France, set up to promote democracy and protect human rights and the rule of law in Europe. The EDQM is in charge of ensuring the basic human right of access to good quality medicines and healthcare in Europe.

This mission and the development of common policy instruments and legal standards is ensured through intergovernmental structures such as the European Committee on Pharmaceuticals and Pharmaceutical Care (CD-P-PH) and its subordinate bodies, the Committee of Experts on Minimising Public Health Risks Posed by Falsification of Medical Products and Similar Crimes (CD-P-PH/CMED) and the Committee of Experts on Quality and Safety Standards in Pharmaceutical Practices and Pharmaceutical Care (CD-P-PH/PC). These committees are composed of representatives from all the member states of the Council of Europe having acceded to the Partial Agreement on the European Pharmacopoeia (Ph. Eur. member states), and support these member states by anticipating and addressing challenges in their respective fields of expertise.

In September 2019, the CD-P-PH approved a project proposal to develop guidance on the traceability of medicines in hospitals. The project was included in the committee's Terms of Reference for 2020-21; however, work could not start immediately due to resource and prioritisation issues. Following the establishment of a working group, the drafting process started in 2022 as a joint initiative of the Committees of Experts CD-P-PH/PC and CD-P-PH/CMED to develop best practices for the traceability of medicines in hospital settings to minimise the incidence of medication administration errors and ensure patient safety.

The aim is to propose harmonised approaches to traceability practices in Ph. Eur. member states through a guidance document to be further disseminated and promoted by the EDQM and member states. Its implementation in the member states' regulations will need to be monitored and evaluated.

Acknowledgments (to be added to the next version)



Traceability of Medicines in Hospital Settings

EDQM, Council of Europe

27 March 2024

3
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93

1. Executive summary

Patient safety is an important and internationally recognised issue in healthcare. Safe medication processes are one of the drivers for improving patient safety. The medication process in healthcare institutions comprises multiple steps, all of which must be addressed to enhance medication safety. This document focuses on achieving full traceability of medicines in a hospital setting, which is key to improving medication safety. The overarching goal is clear, but important conditions and potential barriers affect the speed at which this goal can be achieved. The concept may seem simple, but the execution has proved complex. However, practices in some leading hospitals in Europe indicate that the principles of in-hospital medication traceability are applied. Sharing details of these cases and their outcome can facilitate the process in other hospitals and countries.

In a hospital setting, traceability of medicines benefits patient safety. Medication administration errors in a hospital will be minimised if individual units of a medicine can be traced back to the point of administration. This traceability consists of the seven “rights” of medication administration: right patient, right medicinal product, right dose, right time, right administration route, right information and right documentation. In the event of a recall, it is also easier to identify properly traced medicines and the patients who are at risk, preventing administration of recalled medicinal products.

Traceability is contingent on several conditions and process steps: traditionally, medication administered to a patient is checked against the prescription and is recorded in a section of the patient medical record. If paper files are used, these are manual procedures, and any check will be a manual check in the individual patient files. If this is the case, only the medication name, dosage and administration route will be recorded in the patient file. With manual medication administration registration, at peak times there are risks of missed recording. Audits are performed at the individual patient level, but in these circumstances these audits are manual, time-consuming and very limited in their ability to prevent errors. Manual recalls are time-consuming and may focus on containing potentially affected stock, as manual tracing of potentially affected patients would require reviewing many paper patient records. As manual procedures tend to be incomplete, it is obvious that they do not fully comply with and achieve the goal of traceability.

Procedures based on barcode scanning are standardised, faster and more secure. In addition, these procedures facilitate (fast and secure) registration of data/information and monitoring. Although barcode scanning may be omitted at hectic times, it supports a standardised way of working and is faster and safer. The scanned data contain more information than a manual record, and the information is recorded automatically, allowing for secure (and even automated) checks.

Information technology (IT), digitalisation and electronic documentation are increasingly finding their way into healthcare and in hospitals. Digitalisation facilitates traceability. Digitalisation also benefits from the standardisation of procedures (administrative, logistic and clinical), the use of IT standards, etc. Electronic documentation is clear and can be immediately available to all healthcare providers, reducing communication errors.

However, investments in IT are costly and hospital budgets limited. Healthcare systems vary from country to country, with governance falling into two main categories: public hospitals (under local/regional/national governance) and privately-owned hospitals in a more market-oriented economy. When it comes to promoting and supporting technological developments in healthcare, national regulation or government sponsorship may vary. The implementation of IT varies between hospitals – even within the same country. As hospitals are complex organisations with many processes supporting the care process, hospitals often require multiple IT systems on their journey to full digitalisation. Solution providers responsible for IT systems may vary, as few provide services both regionally and globally. (International) certification and accreditation enhances the visibility of IT status.

Digitalisation requires new ways of thinking and designing, ‘new pathways’, cultural changes, process changes and in fact – to be successful – major change management. It also brings a whole new group of professionals to the forefront of the hospital, IT experts who design the IT architecture, support the technology, maintain the new IT systems and support the clinical professionals. In addition, digitalisation also results in a major change for existing healthcare professionals, who should view digitalisation as a means to optimise care and use new technologies to facilitate their current workflow and thereby improve patient care. As a result of digitalisation, specific new roles have developed in hospitals (Chief Medical Information Officer (CMIO), Chief Pharmacy Informatics Officer (CPIO) and the Chief Nursing Information Officer (CNIO)).

158 Given the diversity of hospitals and available IT systems, it is impossible to write a 'blueprint' for in-
159 hospital traceability that covers the topic for all countries in Europe. This document is intended to serve
160 as a guide to understand the importance of the issue, share experiences and best practices, and
161 encourage organisations to take the next step in improving traceability and patient safety.

162 2. Context

163 Medication is an important component in the treatment of diseases. The purpose of medication can be
164 curative, preventive, alleviation of symptoms and self-treatment of ailments. In hospital care, the
165 prescription and administration of medication by hospital staff are common practice and ideally the
166 processes are well controlled. In recent years, the increased focus on patient safety has also meant that
167 additional attention is being paid to medication errors. In 2007 the 'Preventing Medication Errors' report
168 found that patient harm caused by medication errors is common, costly and, to a large extent,
169 preventable. An intervention such as barcoded medication administration increases administration
170 accuracy and supports medication traceability up to the patient, preventing harm and even unnecessary
171 deaths.
172

173 Reducing medication errors is one strategy to enhance patient safety. In EU member states, the
174 Falsified Medicines Directive (Directive 2011/62/EU, FMD) was implemented in 2019 to prevent the
175 entry of falsified medicinal products into the healthcare supply chain. Preventing this entry has a
176 positive effect on patient safety. However, in some hospitals, pharmacists still consider the
177 implementation of the FMD as an additional administrative burden with limited added value for patient
178 safety. As a result of this legislation, every unit of secondary packaging of prescription-only medicines in
179 the EU has a unique barcode, allowing the pharmacy to check the legitimacy of the medication before
180 dispensing. Each secondary package holds several individually packed medicines (units). However, the
181 FMD does not provide for barcoding at the primary level and decommissioning of the secondary
182 packaging barcode number is performed when the pharmacy receives the medicine.
183

184 EU FMD refers to the legal distribution chain in EU member states. In-hospital traceability is not a goal
185 of the EU FMD. This implies that the FMD in itself does not support full traceability from the moment an
186 individual unit of a medicine leaves the pharmacy to the point of administration to the individual patient.
187 However, since the implementation of the FMD, experience has been gained on traceability and on the
188 added value and some of the limitations of barcoding/barcode scanning, as will be explained in this
189 document.
190

191 Developments and (national) guidelines supporting in-hospital medication safety, such as electronic
192 prescribing, are a building block to achieving full traceability.
193

194 3. Scope of the document

- 195
- 196 a. Scope: in-hospital full traceability of medicines (individual units of a medicine) up to the point of
197 administration to minimise the occurrence of medication administration errors and ensure patient
198 safety (consisting of seven rights of medication administration: right patient, right medicinal product
199 (right indication or approved indication), right dose, right time, and right administration route, right
200 information and right documentation¹).
201
 - 202 b. Setting: Hospitals
203 The focus of this guidance document is on hospitals. However, traceability is valuable in all settings
204 where medication is administered by care personnel in institutional care. In the not-too-distant future
205 it is expected that traceability can be implemented in home care or in a 'virtual ward' (patients
206 receiving hospital care in their home).
207
 - 208 c. Disclaimer: most medication in a hospital will be prescribed by the physician (or other authorised
209 prescriber) for a given time, dosage and route.
210 Some other medications, like ointments or drops (eye, ear, nose), may be given to the patient to
211 self-administer. These types of medication administration may be excluded from the scope of this
212 document.
213
 - 214 d. Out of scope:
215 - Verification of authenticity of medicinal products entering the supply chain addressed by the FMD
216 and Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/161.
217

¹ See for example Section 5.1 of EAHP's European Statements of Hospital Pharmacy -
<https://statements.eahp.eu/statements/final-statements>

- Prevention of the entry into the legal supply chain of falsified medicinal products.
- Impact of repackaging/relabelling medicinal products at hospitals.
- Diagnosis and prescription of medicines.

4. Target audience

Policy-makers, national competent authorities, healthcare payment bodies (including insurance companies), hospital managers, healthcare professionals involved in the medication process (such as physicians, hospital pharmacists and nurses), IT staff (in-hospital and working in organisations supporting hospital IT) and patient associations.

DRAFT

5. In-hospital traceability system

This section focuses on track-and-trace in hospitals in terms of components/features of a complete track-and-trace system, development of such a system and systems that are already available. A medication cycle (prescribing, processing, dispensing and administering) that is electronic and automated as far as possible is also called a closed-loop medication process, a term commonly used in the UK.

Identification of (medicinal) products, for instance by labelling, increases their safe use. An identification label is designed to list several characteristics of the product, such as the name of the substance, strength, batch or lot number and expiry date. The overall purpose of labelling medication packages is to ensure their unambiguous identification and safe use, and to improve logistics and supply chain efficiency. The implementation of a system of harmonised standards supports efficiency and cost-effectiveness for manufacturers, suppliers and transporters. Traceability is improved through standardised procedures and standardised data. A national medicines data repository (such as the G-Standaard in the Netherlands)² makes it easier for all relevant healthcare stakeholders (including the prescriber and the hospital pharmacist) to access and use the same medication information.

From a clinical perspective, the aim of good medicine identification and labelling is to ensure that the appropriate medicine is selected for administration, leaving no room for doubt or error. At the point of administration, the identification (and recording) of the medication closes the loop to full traceability to the patient. In addition to the correct description of the medicinal product, this requires clear product identification and a robust recording system. This also supports the provision of information to ensure appropriate and safe storage, preparation, dispensing and administration. In the event of problems during the manufacturing, prescribing or dispensing process, the well-labelled and identified product can be tracked.

The importance of correctly recording both prescribed and administered medications is clear, and not just for patient safety. The registration of administered/used materials (used for a specific patient) can also be used for specific billing purposes. In addition, reimbursement policies may differ between public and private hospitals and are facilitated by correct recording.

Prescribing and administering are separate processes, each requiring its own registration process. At the level of the individual patient, the authorised prescriber prescribes the medication and registers this in the patient file and on an order form (or prescription). In a hospital, all prescriptions are checked by the pharmacist and then authorised (verification). Medication is stored in the pharmacy and, depending on the policy and organisation of the pharmacy, in decentralised medication storerooms in the hospital (under the responsibility of the pharmacist), where they are prepared for dispensing.

When the nurse prepares the medication for a specific patient, this will be registered in a specific system (such as a medication cardex). If procedures and systems are manual, full traceability cannot be achieved, as it would involve too many extra manual activities. For instance, individual patient records would need to be checked, the content of packages of medication in a ward or department would need to be counted and checked, etc. In addition, it is not technically feasible to record the specific identification details, such as lot number and expiry date for each individual medication administered. Digitised recording systems facilitate traceability and verification.

This guidance document recommends that full traceability can only be achieved in a digitised working environment and when each individual dose is correctly identified (barcoded), with all essential identification keys.

At present, only a small proportion of medicines entering a hospital are barcoded at the unit level. When a hospital implements point-of-care scanning, alternative procedures are required, such as relabelling at unit level in the pharmacy (e.g. through automated dose dispensing), a procedure that requires dedicated pharmacy personnel.

However, even in a fully digitalised hospital, traceability is not necessarily implemented for 100% of the medications. Based on risk and cost-benefit analyses, exemptions are defined, such as ointments (not barcoded at unit level and low risk) or inhalation medicines, drops (eye, ear and nose).

As relabelling requires extra personnel and can be seen as a risky procedure, some hospitals do not relabel, but the nurse (or pharmacy assistant) scans the barcode on the secondary packaging (in the ward's medication room) before dispensing, as this enables the lot and serial number to be traced to the

²<https://www.z-index.nl/english>

individual patient. This step is followed by scanning the patient's identification at the time of administration. However, this is an indirect process and requires regular reconciliation of the contents of the pack with the amount administered to patients, which can be time-consuming and burdensome.

Several systems have been developed to track medications:

- Türkiye has a well-developed system (the Pharmaceutical Track&Trace system ITS) that is well established for the purchase of medicines, their sale, for the consumption centres (such as warehouses, pharmacies, hospitals), their declaration of consumption and their verification. The focus of this system is a safe medication supply chain. However, the system was not originally developed to track medication in the clinical setting through to administration to individual patients.
- Multiple apps have been developed to support patients in managing medications, including remembering when and how to take them. These apps are consumer focused and have not been developed for use in a clinical setting.
- In Ireland, a system has been developed specifically for the management of haemophilia patients in their own home, including medication management control. The patient uses an app, and the national centre manages control-at-a-distance. This system is well developed, efficient, increases the safety of the individual patients, and is mainly used to support out-patient care of haemophilia patients.

A complete track-and-trace in-hospital system is not (yet) available on the market. Increasingly, electronic medical record (EMR) systems have built-in functionality to facilitate barcode scanning for verification, use a platform to store the barcoded data as master data, connect EMRs to other in-hospital IT systems, such as purchasing, pharmacy information or pharmaceutical warehouse management systems³. As the medicinal product and patient are reconciled with the prescription, this is also called a closed-loop system.

Scanning medicinal products as they enter the hospital and again as they are administered to the patient – without scanning the steps in between – is an example of an end-to-end tracing system⁴. This type of implementation ensures traceability to the patient and is less costly to implement but misses out on the direct advantages of full visibility and efficiency in the in-hospital supply chain (as mentioned above), which could lead to additional costs to expand the system in the future.

The implementation of the EU FMD is seen as supportive of in-hospital traceability, as the FMD results (for prescription medication) in package-level labelling with a scannable lot and expiry date. These are essential for traceability and patient safety.

Ideally, the standardised product information encoded in the barcode should be uploaded into the hospital's system by the hospital (or the hospital organisation). A standardised and harmonised system of barcoding and labelling that is applicable at all levels in a hospital is essential for traceability.

Such a system should be compatible with the requirements of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Data should only be used for traceability and not in any other way by stakeholders that are not directly involved in the process of dispensing/administering medicines.

6. Barcode Medication Administration (BCMA)

As explained in this guidance document, full traceability of medication in a hospital is best achieved through barcode scanning (at all levels of the medication process). The medication process is complex and can be designed in well-described steps with clear expectations of costs and benefits. Barcode scanning of medication at the point of care can be achieved with BCMA. This section describes BCMA, including the specifics for success.

Originally developed for retail and supply chain purposes, barcode technologies are increasingly being used in other sectors, including healthcare. Barcodes are electronically readable identifiers that identify specifics of the product, such as the product itself, numbers (lot, batch and/or serial), date (expiry date). In Europe, regulation drives the identification of medicinal products with identifiers that are encoded in barcodes. For instance, from February 2019, as a result of the FMD, medicinal products entering a hospital will at minimum have an identifier on the secondary package consisting of at least a product code (allowing identification of at least the product name, the active substance, the pharmaceutical

³ (translate into English): <https://www.chipsoft.nl/oplossingen/139/HiX-voor-medicatie-en-apotheek>

⁴ (translate into English): <https://www.uzleuven.be/nl/bedsidescanning>

354 form, the strength, the pack size and the pack type of the medicinal product), the serial number, the
355 batch number and the expiry date.

356
357 As well as supporting the medication supply chain, warehousing and stock-keeping, barcodes on
358 medication can also be used effectively in direct patient care. When the nurse scans and thereby
359 identifies the medication at the point of care (bedside), this process improves patient safety and reduces
360 the risk of medication errors.

361
362 As the regulation requires identification with barcodes on the secondary packaging, this can be seen as
363 a first step in improving patient safety. However, in a hospital setting, medications to be administered to
364 an individual patient will not be taken from a secondary pack but will be administered in unit doses.

365
366 Therefore, full digitised hospital traceability requires additional barcoding and labelling operations at the
367 primary packaging and/or single unit-dose level. The consistent availability of medication barcoded at
368 the unit level reduces risky relabelling activities and would significantly increase (patient) safety.

369
370 Packaging/relabelling at hospital level requires much greater investment, as it must be done by every
371 hospital and, because it involves considerable manual labour, has much higher running costs. At
372 industry level it can be incorporated into the production process. Manufacturers will need to invest in
373 their packaging and IT systems. It would be preferable for industry to adapt, acknowledging that patient
374 safety is at the core of healthcare activities. Hospitals would also need to invest in hardware, software,
375 training and infrastructure.

376
377 This context, and the absence of mandatory regulation of barcoding on the primary packaging of
378 medicines, is the main reason for the lack of implementation of barcode scanning (and track & trace of
379 medication) in hospitals. On this aspect, as only a limited number of hospitals had fully implemented
380 bedside scanning during the administration of medicines, the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and
381 Sport commissioned Cap Gemini Consulting to conduct a cost-benefit analysis on Barcoding on the
382 primary packaging of medicines (Nov 2016: [https://open.overheid.nl/documenten/ronl-archief-
383 752101ad-99a9-444d-8d2c-af9e41491b9a/pdf](https://open.overheid.nl/documenten/ronl-archief-752101ad-99a9-444d-8d2c-af9e41491b9a/pdf)).

384
385 Some large hospitals have voluntarily implemented a system of medication labelling down to the
386 primary packaging unit level. This is an elaborate, rather high-risk process, requiring technology and
387 dedicated personnel. The risks of relabelling should be weighed against the risk of medication errors. In
388 fact, this consideration is patient versus process. Patient risks are significant but often are not noted or
389 recognised. The process risk, such as relabelling, can be controlled more easily.

390
391 However, a barcode on the unit dose will allow the nurse to perform BCMA, confirming an appropriate
392 check of identity, form of medication, dosage and time of administration. The technology should fit well
393 with the work processes and be well implemented to support the safety of the medication administration
394 processes. It is to be noted that BCMA cannot be implemented in a hospital that is still fully paper
395 based.

396
397 A preliminary step for BCMA is identification of the medication unit dose. A linear barcode holds limited
398 data/information; a barcode in Data Matrix format (2-dimensional) can hold more data, including lot and
399 batch numbers and expiry date. In the EU, Data Matrix is currently the leading format for medicinal
400 products.

401
402 BCMA requires the development of IT systems, such as the implementation of barcode scanning,
403 barcode scanners that can process the identification data correctly, a portable or desktop computer with
404 a wireless connection, a computer server, relevant software and interoperability of relevant IT systems,
405 and a data warehouse.

406
407 When a nurse who is identified in the system administers medication to a patient in a healthcare setting,
408 the nurse can scan the barcode on the patient's wristband to verify their identity. The nurse can then
409 scan the barcode on the medication and use software to verify that he/she is administering the right
410 medication to the right patient at the right dose, through the right route, and at the right time (the 'rights'
411 of medication administration). BCMA was designed as an additional check to aid nurses in administering
412 medication; however, it cannot replace the expertise and professional judgment of the nurse. The
413 implementation of BCMA has been shown to significantly reduce medication administration errors in the
414 healthcare setting⁵.

415
416
⁵ https://pure.rug.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/3668356/Helmons_thesis.pdf

7. Processes

Medication safety is an important issue in hospitals, involving several stakeholders and multiple processes. A medication management process is actually a complex set of processes, and ideally consists of several steps, simplified as:

- defining the best treatment for the defined diagnosis and selecting the appropriate medication (physician);
- ordering/prescribing (prescribing or attending physician or authorised prescriber);
- verifying (pharmacist);
- dispensing (pharmacy personnel or nurse);
- distribution (pharmacy personnel or nurse);
- administration (nurse);
- monitoring of the individual patient (physician, clinical pharmacist, nurse, etc.), e.g. if the patient's condition deteriorates, it is possible to trace which medications were administered (or possibly forgotten);
- evaluating (pharmacist and physician).

The hospital formulary contains a selection of medications most commonly prescribed in the hospital and serves as a guidance document for prescribers. The hospital pharmacist is an important member of the hospital's Drugs and Therapeutics Committee (the name may vary), a multidisciplinary team in charge of selecting, discussing and deciding on the final hospital formulary. Important considerations include existing national formularies/lists of medicines, characteristics and needs of specific patient populations, state-of-the-art treatments, interactions, and a pharmaco-economic analysis. This process requires a formulary management system with continuous updating and attention to formulary compliance (by both hospital pharmacists and prescribers).

Hospitals have a (central) main medication storage facility in the hospital pharmacy and smaller decentralised medication facilities near the point of care, such as departmental/ward medication rooms. The range of medications in decentralised locations is often 'general stock', not yet labelled for individual patients, and is limited to the specific medication for the type of patients expected in each particular department. For instance, a ward for neurological patients will have different medications in stock than a ward for surgical patients. In the event of an acute need for additional prescriptions (of medication not in stock on the particular ward), the medication could be ordered from the hospital pharmacy and delivered directly to the ward in the patient's name. Before administering medications, either a pharmacy technician or one of the nurses prepares the patient-specific medication according to the prescription (dispensing) in the medication room. In some countries, routine practice involves another nurse checking and then administering the medication to the patient. Any high-risk medication will officially require a double check and documenting of this double-check procedure. This is the case for oncolytic medication or opiates, for example.

Robots can be used in the in-hospital medication supply system, both in the pharmacy and in robotic dispensing cabinets on the wards. It is expected that more hospitals will use these robots in the future, as implementation is part of a positive business case, reducing pharmaceutical staff, reducing stock levels, reducing space requirements and reducing waste. Robots are not discussed further in this document.

Medication reconciliation is important when a patient is admitted to hospital. In many instances the processes of medication verification on admission, transfer and discharge are done by pharmacy staff, sometimes by nurses. The authorisation of these medications is regularly done by doctors. Eventually the doctor will write new medication orders (including continuation and, if needed, new prescriptions), starting the in-hospital medication process. As a rule, hospitalised patients are not responsible for storing/keeping their own medication supplies, nor are they responsible for the administration of medications.

Medications in a hospital setting are prescribed by the authorised prescriber, either in handwritten form or – preferably – as an electronic prescription. The hospital pharmacist checks all prescriptions in the pharmacy. Eventually, on the ward (or department) the nurse administers the medication according to the prescription, at the indicated time, in the correct dose, in the correct form and via the correct route to the correct patient. Administered medication is registered in the individual patient's record and in a specific medication system that can be reconciled to the required stock levels.

Hospitals that use paper files have manual procedures to register administration and for stock keeping. Hospitals using electronic files may have a completely electronic process, or mixed paper/electronic processes.

482 A digitised hospital will have interfaces between the different systems supporting the medication
483 processes. Examples of such systems are:

- 484 - digital pharmacy systems (interfaced with lab systems, for verification purposes, for stock-keeping,
485 etc);
- 486 - a digital formulary management system;
- 487 - a digital formulary that is connected to a clinical decision support system, connected to the
488 computerised physician order entry (CPOE), connected or integrated in the EMR, connected to the
489 Medication Administration System and to the BCMA;
- 490 - fully integrated systems covering all the above functions.

493 8. Phased implementation

494
495 In a voluntary implementation scenario, no hospital will be able to implement all the requirements of a
496 medication traceability system immediately. Implementation cases show a mix of top-down and bottom-
497 up approach.

498 Vision and strategy are as important as the commitment and understanding of medical professionals. In
499 every hospital, the investment in an IT implementation must be balanced against other priorities.

500
501 Assuming the prerequisites are in place, such as a (to some extent) IT-developed hospital, the
502 necessary hardware and software and trained staff, a well-defined phased approach will facilitate the
503 implementation of a track-and-trace system and procedure. In practice, some patient care areas will
504 benefit more from scanning barcodes than others. Several years ago, literature reviews already showed
505 that BCMA results in a medication administration error reduction of 50%⁶. Sharing best practice
506 information, including site visits to hospitals that have implemented BCMA, is a great way to learn and
507 design the optimal implementation strategy for an individual hospital or even all national hospitals.

508
509
510 Priorities can be set using the cost-benefit ratio, with the benefit of implementing barcoding at unit-dose
511 level exceeding the cost for expensive medications. Administration of oncolytic medication is an
512 example of a process that is well controlled in hospitals (specific procedures in the pharmacy,
513 guidelines to deal with waste, specific procedures for any related emergency, training of nurses) and is
514 costly. The cost of oncolytic medication is high, the process is well controlled, and the pharmacy has a
515 central role in almost the entire process. Spillage reduction and waste reduction will directly yield
516 financial savings that can be better used to maintain and strengthen the quality of the healthcare
517 services delivered by the hospital. For intravenous oncolytic medication, the final preparation is 'mixed'
518 shortly before administration. Barcode scanning throughout the process from pharmacy through
519 preparation and dispensing to administration at the point of care ultimately enables full traceability and
520 increases patient safety. However, this describes a process that is already well controlled, and it entails
521 only specific high-risk medication.

522
523 Priorities can also be set on a (known) risk ratio. Similar procedures can be designed for high-risk
524 medication that is commonly used (and well known for patient safety incidents) like digoxin or
525 methotrexate.

526
527 Another approach to consider is to select a specific ward as a pilot and design an implementation that
528 will work for all medication that is used in this specific ward. If implemented well, this will greatly
529 enhance safety for staff and patients and reduce the risk of workarounds. After evaluation, this
530 implementation can be rolled out to other wards.

531
532 Preparation of medication and administration are steps that should be well distinguished. Both steps
533 can benefit from identification and scanning.

534
535 Steps to be followed in a hospital to track-and-trace single unit doses until the point of administration
536 include the following:

- 537 - business plan: make a plan to consider funding the implementation of hospital traceability;
- 538 - write a project plan for the specific implementation;
- 539 - important to note: not all hospitals or healthcare systems will be able to generate or allocate

⁶ For instance: https://www.rug.nl/about-ug/latest-news/news/archief2014/promoties/promotie-p.j.-helmons_medication-safety-through-information-technology.-a-focus-on-medication-pr or https://research.rug.nl/files/3668356/Helmons_thesis.pdf

sufficient funds. Sometimes, there is a need for funding from sources other than hospital management, such as from national or EU funds;

- project ownership for implementation: hospital board in accordance with governing organisation;
- process ownership: the medication process involves practically all disciplines in the hospital.

Several actors can be identified for the overall processes and the separate steps. Defining clear responsibilities and addressing the correct actor is essential.

| Action | Actor(s) |
|---|---|
| Being an ambassador of safe medication practices in the institution (including proactive pharmacovigilance) | Hospital pharmacists |
| Sharing the vision and benefits of safe medication practices Allocation of adequate budget. Actor: hospital board and finance department. Identify the 'early adopters' within the profession and within the hospital. Engaging and involving hospital management (at all levels). | Hospital board and higher management |
| Prioritising IT investments | Hospital board and IT department. |
| Involving IT department | Hospital board, head of IT, finance department. If present: CPIO |
| Define the required IT strategy, including selection of system(s), software and hardware | Head of IT department together with hospital pharmacist. Involve the procurement department. |
| Perform a gap-analysis to identify gaps and define scenarios to resolve gaps | Will depend on the local situation, in any case the IT department, the hospital pharmacist and the nurses |
| On arrival at the hospital pharmacy, only barcoded medication is received | Pharmaceutical manufacturer and supplier. In-hospital actor: hospital pharmacist (selection procurement and process design) and IT department (for hardware and software). |
| Engaging pharmaceutical staff | Hospital pharmacist. |
| Decision on level of identification and barcoding with relabelling to unit dose | Hospital pharmacist and hospital board |
| Engaging physicians | Hospital pharmacist and medical board |
| Engaging nurses | Hospital pharmacist, chief nursing officer, training department |
| Engaging other staff, such as logistics staff. Support the necessary change management | Human resources department and training department |
| Share the message and share the results to support commitment | Communication department |

In addition to regular out-patient clinics and the treatment of these outpatients, in-patient stays are increasingly being shortened, while treatments are still ongoing. This leads to what are sometimes called 'virtual wards'. These offer future opportunities to extend point-of-care administration and full traceability of medicinal products to patients who are still under the full responsibility of the hospital, but are receiving their care at home or in another non-hospital setting.

9. Available systems

As in other sectors, in recent years digitalisation has progressed in healthcare, gradually replacing paper files and manual procedures. Any move forward in digitalisation involves risk assessment and change management. Hospitals are risk-prone environments; process changes need to be carefully designed to reduce risks. Resistance to change will have several causes: implementations that are perceived as beneficial for the nurse or the patient and do not add to the workload will be implemented more easily. In addition, technological implementations require safe and reliable technology and well-designed processes, or nurses (and physicians) will continue to use work-around processes, that have proven workable solutions in the past. A technological implementation, such as IT support,

567 implementing an EMR and barcode scanning require close observation of the original processes and
568 supporting the advancement and improvement or redesign of these processes. It takes time to get
569 medical personnel to understand the background and early stages of an implementation. However,
570 changes and improvements that align well with the care processes will not only result in commitment,
571 but also in safer processes and more time available for patient care⁷.

572
573 As multiple entry points serve to facilitate and support digitalisation in a hospital, multiple systems are
574 developed, each serving a specific need. Even within a hospital, multiple stakeholders may own a
575 system such as 'the hospital, the pharmacy, or a specific department. As a result, IT in a hospital setting
576 has evolved into a complex system of solutions, sometimes stand-alone, sometimes in a network
577 (multiple systems from the same developer), and sometimes requiring interfaces for interoperability.
578 This, of course, not only adds complexity, it also adds cost.

579
580 National guidance on the form of digitalisation varies between countries, adding to the diversity and
581 overall complexity of achieving the goal of full in-hospital traceability. The aim of this European guidance
582 document is to provide a summary of best practice and advice on how to implement it at operational,
583 professional, standardisation and regulatory levels.

584
585 Concerning in-hospital medication, the hospital pharmacy is the starting point, as the central entry-point
586 for medication. A pharmaceutical warehouse management system facilitates stock-keeping and
587 procurement, for example. The barcode required by the EU FMD allows for better checking of the expiry
588 date, contributing to patient safety.

589
590 Prescription orders should no longer be handwritten, but should preferably be issued electronically via
591 the CPOE. A Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS) supports the physician in prescribing, via
592 advice, alerts and reminders. Point-of-care reference information can be accessed via the internet, but
593 ideally such a system is connected to or integrated with the hospital pharmacy system, enabling and
594 facilitating the advisory role of the hospital pharmacist. The advisory role of the pharmacist can be
595 enhanced by system links with direct patient-related parameters, such as laboratory results.

596
597 Any patient-related information (including prescription information) should be recorded manually in the
598 patient file, or, in a digitised hospital, in the electronic medical record (EMR). Paper patient files often
599 consist of multiple parts, a medical file for physicians' notes, a nursing file for nurses' notes and a
600 medication card specifically to register administered medications. In a digitised hospital the medication
601 card will be replaced by a medication administration system. The EMR should contain all of the
602 information from these formerly paper files, facilitating multidisciplinary care and enhancing patient
603 safety.

604
605 Interoperability is required with the CPOE, the medication administration system and with the pharmacy
606 systems. The best option is to have CPOE, CDSS and pharmacy systems integrated with the EMR.
607 Separate functions, but not separate systems. This hospital best practice requires some form of
608 hospital-digitalisation.

609 610 611 **10. Personnel and training**

612
613 Digitalisation of a hospital requires a completely new section/department of IT professionals. If a
614 hospital decides to have an in-house-developed EMR, the number of professionals will be large,
615 including developers. If the hospital opts for an off-the-shelf EMR, fewer developers will be needed, but
616 expertise to integrate the EMR with the in-hospital workflows will still be required. Next to technical IT
617 expertise, support expertise is needed and experts such as data-analysts.

618
619 Practice shows that to achieve full traceability of medications up to final administration to patients
620 requires changes to systems and workflows, and involves several types of healthcare professionals.
621 This requires an understanding of responsibilities, workflows, risk analysis – including connecting
622 (exchange) moments – and ultimately full alignment. In effect, this is major change management. Any
623 implementation will therefore benefit from the (orderly) involvement and commitment of representatives
624 of all affected staff, both in the design phase and in the implementation.

625
626 The expertise of healthcare professionals is primarily in 'caring and curing', and their focus is not
627 necessarily on processes or interactions. The working conditions for medical professionals, and thereby
628 the basic conditions for a patient-centred environment, should be ensured by appropriate IT and
629 technical as well as construction/building capacity infrastructure.

⁷ https://pure.rug.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/3668356/Helmons_thesis.pdf

630
631 Moving to a more digitised working environment requires not only adapted procedures, but also a
632 cultural change. A “scanning culture” should be developed, to discourage workarounds as much as
633 possible, since workarounds add risk to the processes and potentially to the patients. Redesign of
634 processes and design of the system should lead to scanning as the easiest pathway. In a scanning
635 culture the goals of traceability and scanning should be clearly communicated, and scanning
636 compliance should be monitored on a regular basis. Analysis of warning overrides should be performed
637 on, e.g. a weekly basis, systems/processes should be evaluated, fine-tuned and, where necessary,
638 feedback provided to staff involved in the process. Since processes interact constantly with each other,
639 monitoring and fine-tuning is a continuous effort to establish a safe and workable situation.
640

641 In a hospital, medication safety is the responsibility of several stakeholders and professionals, so the
642 sequential flow of medication through the hospital needs to be central to the decision process, and the
643 training process. Each stakeholder needs to be fully confident that all responsibilities are performed
644 correctly through a chain of trust, so that they can rely on safe systems and on the previous
645 professional in line, and feel trusted by the next professional in line.
646

647 Whether a hospital is a ‘paper world’ or fully digitised (and all possible variations in between) a good
648 overview of the workflow processes and the stakeholders is paramount. In practice, even if the high-
649 level processes are similar, each hospital will have its own workflows and processes. Understanding
650 these is essential for successful change management processes.
651

652 Implementing traceability requires change management and understanding the effect of changes on the
653 medical professionals and other hospital staff. This can be addressed in training, which (in part) needs
654 to be tailor-made for the specific target groups. To achieve the necessary change management in a
655 hospital, this type of training should not be voluntary, but compulsory.
656

657 Training is not just required for prescribers and nurses, but also for pharmaceutical staff, as they have
658 an essential role in ensuring the correct medication is available, checking prescriptions and uploading
659 safety warnings into the system. Training should also include staff in logistics, in IT, physicians and staff
660 in administration and management. Training should preferably also include purchasing staff, warehouse
661 staff and logistics management.
662

663 In order to ensure the long-term implementation of full hospital traceability, training must be extended to
664 educational organisations and universities. Future generations of healthcare professionals (such as
665 clinical support staff, hospital pharmacists, quality assurance professionals, nurses and physicians)
666 should be suitably trained in the understanding and use of IT tools and on traceability in order to ensure
667 successful implementations and to lay a foundation for continuous innovation.
668

669 Training in a healthcare environment will always require continuous attention and is an ongoing
670 process.
671

672 673 **11. Premises and equipment** 674

675 Equipment needed for the implementation of full hospital traceability includes barcode readers and
676 interoperable IT systems that can process the scanned data. Their efficient use requires the use of
677 ubiquitous standards, e.g. for the generation of identifiers and barcodes. The advantage of a global
678 system of standards (such as GS1) is the applicability throughout the hospital and the healthcare supply
679 chain.
680

681 With the GS1 standards, identification keys are converted to machine-readable data carriers (barcodes)
682 so the encrypted information can be read automatically. These standards are system agnostic and can
683 be built into IT systems. This system has developed (global) standards for, among others, product
684 identification, asset identification, locations, transactions, processes, relations and all required
685 identification keys (such as expiry date, lot and batch numbers). A linear barcode has limited data
686 capacity; increasing demands for data capacity may result in multiple linear barcodes on a pack, which
687 can be confusing as to which should be scanned for what purpose. Innovations of the original linear
688 barcode as a data carrier and adjustments to match the (increasing) data requirements of a specific
689 sector have led to the development and implementation of 2D data carriers (such as DataMatrix). The
690 DataMatrix contains much more information in one scannable symbol, making it easier to capture
691 information and simplify the scanning process.
692

693 Throughout this guidance document, reference is made to necessary steps, equipment, etc. This
694 section summarises the essentials. No further details are added, as the details depend on the specific

695 conditions and situation in a given country and/or hospital.

696 To achieve traceability of medicinal products in a hospital, at least the following are required:

- 698 - Understanding the need to standardise and that standardisation facilitates IT
699 implementation in hospitals.
- 700 - Barcoded medications (from the manufacturer) with harmonised details included in the barcode at
701 unit-dose level (product details, lot or batch number, expiry date).
- 702 - Identification at correct product/package level (to prevent relabelling).
- 703 - Development of IT, including necessary interfaces.
- 704 - Implementation of a patient identifier (and preferably a staff identifier).
- 705 - Desktop computers and mobile devices (laptops, tablets and mobile phones) in sufficient numbers.
- 706 - Including medication trolleys for the nurse to use during the medication round.
- 707 - In-hospital computerised systems, that have interfaces and are interoperable.
- 708 - Data warehouse for storing data.
- 709 - Allowing/building IT interfaces and exchange of data and translating data into information.
- 710 - Secure Wi-Fi environment.
- 711 - Barcode scanners with the correct capabilities and configuration.
- 712 - Printers (document printers as well as label printers).
- 713 - For any IT-related system, redundancy/back-up is an important necessary aspect.

716 12. Potential obstacles to development/implementation of full traceability

717 As described in the previous sections, digitalisation and barcode scanning can be considered important
718 innovations in hospitals and are also needed for the purpose of medication traceability. Processes are
719 similar in all hospitals. However, as circumstances vary from country to country and hospital to hospital,
720 the perceived challenges and barriers may vary. This section gives an overview of potential obstacles.

721 A lack of regulation on barcoding at the primary packaging level poses an important threat to the goal
722 of full in-hospital traceability. Stakeholders, such as manufacturers, can decide to simply comply with
723 barcoding/identification at the secondary level (as regulated by the FMD) or go beyond to barcode the
724 medications at the primary packaging level. Hospitals can opt to scan at the bedside only those
725 medications that are barcoded at the correct level and register the rest manually or invest in the
726 relabelling of all medications. Obviously, in practice, either of these situations allows for permanent
727 gaps, implying incomplete traceability.

728 The availability of the correct IT infrastructure and the necessary peripheral equipment is an important
729 precondition for any traceability programme. Experience shows that before starting a traceability
730 programme in a hospital, some practical issues need to be addressed. This guidance document
731 addresses some of these. This section lists some of the practical issues collected by the drafting group
732 that are identified as weaknesses:

- 733 - Identification/barcoding at the pack level, requiring alternative ways to scan the correct barcode at
734 the point of care, such as relabelling, scanning packages from which a single unit is taken ('indirect
735 scanning') to be administered to the patient, or entering the administered dose manually.
- 736 - Unscannable barcodes (damaged or misplaced).
- 737 - Hybrid situations in hospitals, partly handwritten records and partly digitised procedures.
- 738 - Lack of knowledge among the medical and nursing staff of 'practical automation', such as the use of
739 electronic records.
- 740 - The assumption that medical personnel can automatically change their way of working without
741 proper training.
- 742 - The assumption that medical staff automatically understand which barcode on a pack should
743 be scanned and why.
- 744 - Pharmaceutical products with incomplete barcodes: identifiers that lack a lot/batch number and/or
745 lack the expiry date.
- 746 - Processes that allow workarounds, resulting in incomplete registration, an increase in patient safety
747 risks and the prevention of full registration, which hinders traceability. This can happen in acute care
748 situations, for example.
- 749 - Mixed processes, more specific procedures that are partly manual and partly use scanning. This is
750 especially risky if these processes also allow workarounds.
- 751 - Mistakes in the process redesign leading to workflows disrupting processes.
- 752 - In some hospitals "over-alerting" (interactions/dose checker/contraindications) is an issue. This can
753 cause additional 'digitalisation fatigue' among healthcare personnel and should be addressed and
754 avoided throughout the process of using decision support systems and EMRs.

755 **Costs** (high-level considerations):

760 Digitalisation has benefits and costs. Time is needed to transform all aspects of healthcare from a paper
761 reality to a fully automated digital reality. This involves changes for each stakeholder. As healthcare is a
762 'chain', ideally each stakeholder understands the effect the changes will have on the processes of the
763 next stakeholder in the chain. For instance, a barcode on a product should be labelled in such a manner
764 that barcode scanning can be done easily, and the barcode should hold all necessary identification
765 keys. An EMR in itself is not enough to allow for barcode scanning at the bedside, barcode scanners
766 that can process the data are needed as well as the previously mentioned IT systems.
767

768
769 Given the variety of hospital systems, the variety of digitalisation and the complex IT market, it is
770 difficult, if not impossible, to estimate the investment required to reach the goal of full medication
771 traceability in hospitals. In some countries, software providers are increasingly offering scan capability
772 embedded in their software. This is an important development that will help to ensure that only hospital
773 IT systems that support barcode scanning and traceability will be used in the future.
774

775 EMR systems that are available on the market are costly (millions of euros for purchase and
776 implementation), excluding the training of staff and other internal costs. Interfaces with other IT systems
777 are essential and, depending on the solution provider, these are sometimes costly. In addition, all
778 systems require an adequate budget for maintenance and updates.

779 An in-house built EMR system might seem less expensive, but requires a great number of dedicated in-
780 house IT staff, and also requires maintenance, updating and interfaces. For hospitals already equipped
781 with an EMR, the additional costs (scanners, training, etc.) to achieve full traceability are much more
782 limited.
783

784 Any cost-benefit analysis must include direct costs (such as hardware, software and data storage) and
785 indirect costs (including manpower, training and maintenance). The same is true for benefits, such as
786 the potential gain in staff time by reducing manual procedures, and capitalising on improved patient
787 safety (e.g. reduction in hospital in-patient days, reduction in medication errors). Any cost-benefit ratio
788 will depend on the (quality of) care issues that are to be improved.
789

790 A developed business case per country could provide a rough overview of the costs and benefits
791 involved, given the specific IT context of the country. Some countries have already outlined a policy on
792 digitalisation in healthcare, such as the UK's National Health Service (NHS) digital⁸.

793 Some benefits are:

- 794 - improved patient safety, including reduction of medication errors,
- 795 - increased efficiency in several processes,
- 796 - efficiency in pharmaceutical supply chain management, including reduction of medication stock,
- 797 - better and real time information for national medicines monitoring systems, supporting rationalising
798 procurement,
- 799 - reduction of waste due to better stock management , e.g. for a better monitoring of expiry dates,
- 800 - nurses' time given back to care,
- 801 - time given back to hospital pharmacists and their staff,
- 802 - better monitoring and evaluation of medical processes,
- 803 - possible increase in medical productivity.

804
805 In addition to the above-mentioned benefits, reductions in paper use, printer facilities and other long-
806 term benefits contribute to sustainability goals.
807

808 No hospital will be capable of immediately implementing everything required for a medication
809 traceability system. In part, the investments can be recouped through waste reduction and hours given
810 back to care. If each step in a designed process is well described, costs and benefits can be
811 compared/balanced per step.
812

813 Guidance, including sharing of good examples, is needed to understand both costs and benefits.
814

815 **13. Quality assurance**

816
817 For all stakeholders, an important aspect of healthcare is quality. Quality can also be considered an
818 important aspect of patient safety, or patient safety can be considered an aspect of quality. Healthcare
819 professionals work with professional guidelines in which quality is embedded. Hospitals will have a
820 quality department and dedicated quality staff in specific departments, such as the laboratory, the

⁸ <https://digital.nhs.uk>
<https://digital.nhs.uk/services/digital-and-interoperable-medicines/resources-for-health-and-care-services/other-resources/strategic-drivers>

821 pharmacy and wards.

822
823 Quality assurance and quality monitoring are two sides of the same coin. Quality assurance originates
824 from the manufacturing industry, establishing and maintaining set requirements for developing or
825 manufacturing reliable products. Quality monitoring supports the evaluation of processes, and checks
826 the desired outcome against the actual outcome, supporting continuous improvement. Quality
827 assurance and quality monitoring are widely used in healthcare and hospitals to improve work
828 processes and efficiency and to meet the needs, expectations and requirements of both clinicians and
829 patients.

830
831 The implementation of well-tested procedures, protocols and standards is part of continuous quality
832 improvement. In the field of medical work, implementing and maintaining a quality assurance
833 programme helps prevent errors before they happen.

834
835 In order to meet the requirements for a well-functioning implementation of bedside scanning, there are
836 some important conditions that are not only specific to bedside scanning, but to the proper functioning
837 of the hospital, e.g.:

- 838 - A good quality system needs to be implemented.
- 839 - Personnel must have an appropriate level of training and can only perform tasks for which they are
840 authorised.
- 841 - Automated systems must be secured with adequately functioning back-up systems.
- 842 - All areas where medicinal products are stored must be controlled and monitored for appropriate
843 climatic conditions and authorised access.
- 844

845
846 As hospitals move from manual processes to IT-supported processes and IT-supported administration
847 and registration, more and more data become available. Data are less meaningful if they cannot be
848 interpreted or shared (requiring interoperability of systems and a well-functioning data warehouse).
849 Standardisation of what is incorporated in data, and how data are obtained and shared/exchanged are
850 examples of quality assurance of IT systems.

851
852 The implementation of global standards facilitates the exchange of unique data in a uniform way, using
853 the same definitions and descriptions.

854
855 Although each patient's situation is unique, healthcare delivery benefits from standardisation, as this
856 increases the reliability of processes and procedures and supports state-of-the art clinical pathways.
857 Bedside scanning requires standardisation and is IT-supported. For the success of digitalisation and IT,
858 standardisation of procedures and processes is essential. Digitalisation facilitates the transformation of
859 data into useful information, supporting several processes, logistic, administrative as well as clinical.
860 Data use and transformation also requires standardisation of definitions, reduction of 'free text' in
861 patient records, and good data processing. Good implementation of standards is essential to enable
862 data processing and, for example, interoperability of systems and data exchange. Hospitals benefit from
863 the digital exchange of product data with the manufacturers and suppliers for purchasing purposes. For
864 drug information, national and international databases form an indispensable source. However, patient-
865 related data (traceable to the individual patient) must be protected in the hospital environment and
866 safeguarded from unauthorised access by external stakeholders.

867
868 The hospital must add/embed the above-mentioned processes and procedures in their quality system.
869 Hospitals are responsible for creating a "scanning culture" in which workarounds should be discouraged
870 as much as possible. Some components of a scanning culture include:

- 871 - processes are designed so that they encourage scanning;
- 872 - the goals of traceability and scanning are clearly communicated;
- 873 - scanning compliance is checked on a regular basis;
- 874 - analysis of 'warning overrides' is performed on, e.g. a weekly basis;
- 875 - records and Analysis of non-compliance;
- 876 - system/process is evaluated, fine-tuned and developed;
- 877 - when needed, feedback is provided to staff involved in the process.

878
879 Regular reports to management and the board ensure that quality and safety receive the required
880 attention at all levels. Internal audit systems add to quality assurance. These audits can be
881 administrative (or on separate parts of the administrative processes), on logistics (including the
882 mandatory control at reception and the labelling control after unpacking), and on patient pathways or
883 even on patient-related outcomes.

884
885 External accreditation or certification of a hospital is increasingly seen as a guarantee of public quality

886 assurance. Accreditation organisations are active in several countries. In recent years, some global
887 accreditation organisations (such as Joint Commission International (JCI), Accreditation Canada) have
888 taken a large share of the market. In some countries a successful accreditation procedure has been
889 made mandatory by regulation for hospitals. A positive accreditation result is usually valid for several
890 years. These procedures involve costs for the accreditation process itself and internal costs, e.g. for
891 staff.
892

893 One such accreditation organisation is the Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society
894 (HIMSS). HIMSS has developed several maturity models, one of which, the Electronic Medical Record
895 Adoption Model (EMRAM) specifically focuses on electronic medical records. EMRAM is designed to
896 measure clinical outcomes, patient engagement and clinician use. Stage 7 is the top level of this
897 maturity model. The audit procedure for stage 7 checks whether the hospital has implemented barcode
898 scanning in their procedures. As described, 'EMRAM ensures the workflow and content in the digital
899 tool meets the needs of the clinical teams while monitoring compliance with approved standards.'
900

901 To become a 'stage 7 HIMSS hospital' electronic traceability of medications is a requirement, illustrating
902 that institution-wide traceability is very much possible. In 2022, several European hospitals reached this
903 level of validation/accreditation⁹.
904

905 **14. Regulation**

906 The safety of patients, healthcare professionals and products used in healthcare (such as medicinal
907 products, medical devices and health IT) is subject to regulation. In principle this governs actions or
908 procedures and requires an authority to oversee the organisation or system. Healthcare, of which
909 hospital care is a specific part, is subject to several levels of regulation.
910

911 In the absence of regulation, professional standards are set by scientific associations of medical
912 professionals (increasingly aligned internationally), which promotes equal quality of care for all patients.
913

914 National governments establish and enforce national regulations and legislation, for example,
915 requirements for the recognition of professional qualifications in their healthcare system.
916

917 International and national healthcare regulations are important drivers to ensure adequate qualification
918 and training of healthcare professionals and quality of care, healthcare products and healthcare IT
919 systems.
920

921 Through their governmental enforcement role, healthcare inspectorates support public health by
922 ensuring a high level of quality assurance in healthcare establishments. This also gives governments
923 access to data useful for establishing and maintaining healthcare policies and, to some extent, cost
924 control.
925

926 For EU member states, national legislation is supplemented by EU directives, which must be
927 transposed into national law, and EU regulations, which are directly applicable in all member states.
928 There is currently no requirement covering full traceability of medicinal products in EU directives or
929 regulations.
930

931 The implementation of the EU FMD has created a focus on the logistics chain. Although the purpose of
932 the FMD is to prevent falsified medicinal products from entering the supply chain, the FMD has in fact
933 raised awareness of the issue of traceability of medicinal products and the possibility of achieving
934 traceability to the patient. All stakeholders – including manufacturers – are faced with the costs of
935 implementing barcoding and adapting procedures. The benefits lie in patient safety, but also in
936 efficiency.
937

938 However, the FMD has mandated coding of medicinal products at the secondary packaging level and
939 will not mandate coding at the primary packaging level. It is important for all stakeholders to understand
940 this 'missing link' to reaching full traceability and to work together towards a harmonised solution.
941

942 A national example of a next step can be seen in the UK. A recent consultation on 'point-of-care
943 manufacturing'¹⁰ is a preparatory step towards new legislation that is aimed at supporting increased
944 manufacture of point-of-care products while ensuring that these products achieve the same assurance
945 of safety, quality and efficacy that currently exists for more conventional medicinal products. A new
946
947

⁹ <https://www.himss.org/news/himss22-europe-celebrates-healthcare-systems-validated-emram-stages-6-and-7>

¹⁰ <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/point-of-care-consultation/consultation-on-point-of-care-manufacturing>

948 regulatory framework has been proposed, based on and linking with current regulatory systems for
949 medicines approvals, clinical trials, evaluation of regulatory compliance at manufacturing sites and
950 safety monitoring¹¹.

951
952 This can be seen as the first framework of its kind to facilitate the manufacture of innovative medicines
953 at the point of care, which will facilitate point-of-care (bedside) scanning.
954

955 **15. Data protection and data sharing**

956
957 The EU GDPR has been in force since May 2018. For national authorities, the GDPR is fundamental to
958 the development and implementation of national privacy regulation. Protection of data is an important
959 focus of the GDPR. This regulation applies to a 'paper world' as well as to the 'digital world'. Principles
960 that were already part of good practice are now regulated in the GDPR.
961

962
963 Some of these principles align well with the requirements for a full medication traceability system. Some
964 require extra attention. For example, when processing personal data, one of the principles is data
965 minimisation, in other words, the processing of data must be 'adequate, relevant and limited to what is
966 necessary in relation to the purposes for which they are processed'.
967

968 Any healthcare-related system that uses data, generates data or holds data must be compatible with
969 the requirements of the GDPR. Personal patient-related data must always be protected, so that it is only
970 accessible to the treating healthcare professionals. In terms of traceability, healthcare-related data
971 should only be used for (product) traceability purposes (such as in-hospital reconciliation of the
972 prescribed drug versus the administered drug) and not in any other way by stakeholders that are not
973 directly involved in the process of dispensing/administering medicines or treating the specific patient.
974

975 If this is transposed to a hospital setting, personal patient data must be accessible to the relevant (and
976 authorised) caregivers. The hospital is obliged to construct safe systems of access to patient data, and
977 audit and monitor these. This requires both paper and digital systems. Consent of patients is needed for
978 any exchange of personal data with third parties. In line with this principle, medical professionals who
979 are not involved in care processes for any given patient are considered as third parties, and therefore
980 have no right to access and process data from this patient.
981

982 Another important principle is integrity and confidentiality: data must be 'processed in a manner that
983 ensures appropriate security of the personal data, including protection against unauthorised or unlawful
984 processing and against accidental loss, destruction or damage, using appropriate technical or
985 organisational measures.' Hospitals must comply with this principle.
986

987 Aggregated healthcare data can be used and shared, for example, for research, treatment evaluation,
988 national or international medical related registries and healthcare policies. These opportunities leverage
989 great benefits. However, these aggregated data must be anonymised in a format that cannot be traced
990 back to individual patients.
991

992 In cases where traceability to specific patients would be necessary (e.g. in the case of serious and
993 harmful side-effects of a treatment), the hospital must trace the individual patients without disclosing
994 their personal data elsewhere.
995

996 When designing and implementing barcode scanning and bedside scanning, the GDPR guidance must
997 be respected by all stakeholders.
998
999
000
001

¹¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-introduce-first-of-its-kind-framework-to-make-it-easier-to-manufacture-innovative-medicines-at-the-point-of-care>

16. Recommendations

Background to the recommendations

- Developments and (national) guidelines supporting in-hospital medication safety, such as electronic prescribing, are building blocks for achieving full traceability.
- Ensuring full in-hospital traceability raises concerns about the demands on the hospital's infrastructure. Elements such as scanning points, medication trolleys, barcoded products, patient identifiers and on-screen alerts are not always in place, depending on the hospital. Introducing and implementing traceability of medicinal products in a hospital is a complex and costly process. Overall, the costs of this process are expected to initially outweigh the immediate benefits. Capitalising on the expected medium- and long-term benefits will help to build a strong business case. For the healthcare supply chain, the benefits are quite well documented. More research and publications on this topic in the hospital environment will be helpful¹².
- All stakeholders should be aware of the investment cost for the implementation of full in-hospital traceability with barcoding of medicinal products at the primary level, to be balanced by benefits at other levels of the healthcare systems. This will be made possible through co-operation on harmonised solutions, such as agreeing upon a universal standard.
- Hospital processes tend to have risky moments and gain quality through routine and standardisation. Any process change needs to be 'thought through', and designed to be as non-disruptive as possible. To introduce traceability in the medication process from pharmacy to administration to the patient requires process redesign. Describing the various steps in the medication processes, and deciding on which IT support/system is needed for which step, requires both IT expertise and insight/expertise in the actual work processes. Depending on previous related IT decisions (such as which EMR is selected) each step requires a decision about interoperability, immediate benefits and expected future benefits. If the medication processes are well designed with IT support, the actual bedside scanning is the final step and probably the least costly. In a business case, the direct and indirect financial implications must be capitalised.

Recommendations for specific stakeholders:

Policy-makers and regulators (medicines and hospitals), at EU and national levels

- Being aware that digitalisation in healthcare is greatly enforced by regulation,
 - Being aware that the financial margins of healthcare providers (especially the public ones) are small and implementation may need to be facilitated or supported,
- it is recommended that policy-makers
- establish a regulatory framework for digitalisation, including interoperability of systems and safe back-up systems,
 - take harmonised measures across Europe to avoid multiple systems with issues of interoperability,
 - based on the evidence of the impact of regulations on in-hospital traceability on patient safety and of the accompanying cost-benefit, establish regulation for unit-dose barcoding of all authorised medicinal products. It is acknowledged that in the absence of regulation, only equipped and resourced hospitals would be able perform unit-dose relabelling with barcoding allowing in-hospital traceability.

Industry (pharmaceutical manufacturers, solution providers, industrial third parties)

- Recognising the efforts made by stakeholders from industry to support patient safety and the need for these efforts to be continued,
- it is recommended that pharmaceutical manufacturers, with the support of their solution providers and other industry third parties:
- implement the regulatory requirements for barcoding unit doses, facilitating full in-hospital traceability,
 - ensure that their monitoring systems in packaging lines and in quality control guarantee that barcodes are scannable at the next level (hospital) and placed at the correct scannable location,
 - ensure that the barcodes include all relevant data, such as product code, batch number and expiry date, as these need to be included in the in-hospital traceability systems.

Hospital boards

- Considering the awareness of hospital boards of the topic of full in-hospital traceability, specifically

¹² Implementation of barcode medication administration. (BMCA) technology on infusion pumps in the operating rooms. *BMJ Open Quality* 2023;12:e002023. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-002023.

IJQHC Communications, 2021, 1(1), 1–3; DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijcoms/lyab014>. Use of barcode technology can make a difference to patient safety in the post-COVID era

61 of its benefits (on top of efficiency) for patient safety,
62 it is recommended that hospital boards:

- 63 - share (and facilitate the sharing of) best practices and use cases,
- 64 - define their needs with policy-makers and payment bodies in order to generate funding for the
65 investments to be made (infrastructure, human resources, training, etc.),
- 66 - ensure a quality culture is in place (including quality departments and quality systems) to support
67 the implementation of in-hospital traceability,
- 68 - consider the training required to design and implement a secure system of full traceability.

69 -
70 -
71 IT managers/IT service providers

- 72 - Acknowledging the responsibility of IT managers for the redesign of the IT system supporting the
73 implementation of in-hospital traceability and involve healthcare professionals to establish safe and
74 lean workflow processes,

75 it is recommended that IT managers:

- 76 - facilitate and create interoperability between the various IT systems (current and future),
- 77 - ensure proper data management with respect to GDPR.

78 It is recommended that IT service providers:

- 79 - ensure that each IT system developed supports both barcode scanning and the processing of
80 scanned data such as product code, batch number and expiry date, as these are required for
81 inclusion in the in-hospital traceability systems,
- 82 - ensure interoperability of systems and data warehouses and prevent (or at a minimum reduce)
83 vendor lock-in.

84
85 Hospital quality management departments

86 It is recommended that quality management departments:

- 87 - support the hospital board in understanding the need for the capability and capacity of the
88 development of the traceability function,
- 89 - support hospital management and departments through the development of quality procedures for
90 the implementation of in-hospital traceability,
- 91 - inform and train hospital staff on developments to implement identification of medicines in these IT
92 systems and on the alignment with national and international accreditation systems and with
93 hospital and pharmaceutical regulations,
- 94 - ensure the quality of the traceability function/system through auditing, failure analysis, evaluation
95 and support for accreditation.

96
97 Hospital pharmacists

- 98 - Recognising the important role played by hospital pharmacists and their professional associations,
99 both nationally and internationally, in raising awareness and sharing good practices for the
100 business case for implementation of full in-hospital traceability,

101 it is recommended that hospital pharmacists:

- 102 - take an active part along with other healthcare professionals in providing hospital boards with
103 evidence about the benefit of in-hospital traceability for patient safety,
- 104 - are involved and combine efforts with other healthcare professionals in the redesign of the
105 processes ensuring implementation of full in-hospital traceability, including for the reception of
106 medicines,
- 107 - ensure in their hospitals that conditions are met to allow bedside scanning.

108
109 Other healthcare professionals in the hospital (such as physicians, nurses)

- 110 - Recognising the role played by all healthcare professionals in raising awareness of the importance
111 of full medication traceability,

112 it is recommended that other healthcare professionals:

- 113 - take an active part in providing hospital boards with evidence of the benefit of in-hospital traceability
114 for patient safety,
- 115 - combine efforts to develop and implement process redesign to ensure the implementation of in-
116 hospital traceability, e.g. for the roll-out of a pilot in their own hospital.

117
118 Healthcare payment bodies (NHS, insurance companies, etc.)

- 119 - Considering the awareness of healthcare payers that achieving benefits from any change requires
120 investment, for which not all healthcare providers and hospitals will have financial resources,
- 121 - Recognising that healthcare payers have to inform themselves about the benefits of full in-hospital
122 traceability and must be involved in its establishment by providing them with examples and
123 business cases,

124 it is recommended that healthcare payment bodies:

- 125 - ensure the investments necessary are made in piloting, developing and rolling out full in-hospital

126 traceability,

- 127 - take up a leading role in facilitating the sharing of good practice cases, knowledge and expertise,
128 - encourage hospitals to implement full in-hospital traceability.

129
130 Patients

- 131 - Considering that patient organisations, both nationally and internationally, need to be aware of the
132 benefits of barcode scanning for patient safety,

133 it is recommended that patient organisations:

- 134 - strongly advocate for in-hospital traceability by stressing the importance of the topic at all levels in
135 which they are involved.

136
137
138 Note for Committees' review: standardisation bodies (e.g. HIMSS, JCI) have not been considered as
139 suitable targets for recommendations in this guideline document, at least at this stage, as accreditation
140 of in-hospital traceability could significantly add to investment costs. However, they could be involved in
141 the public consultation.
142

DRAFT

17. Definitions

This section provides definitions specifically for the purpose of this guidance document.

Adverse drug event (ADE): any injury secondary to medication use.

Administering medication: point-of-care process involving the direct application of a prescribed medication – whether by injection, inhalation, ingestion or other means – to an individual patient by an individual person legally authorised to do so.

Barcode: a symbol that can be scanned electronically using laser or image-based technology. Barcodes are used to encode information such as key identifiers (product, shipment, location, etc.) and key attributes (serial numbers, batch/lot numbers, dates, etc.). The most commonly used standard for barcoding identification in Europe is GS1, using GS1 syntaxes (plain, GS1 element string and GS1 Digital Link URI)¹³. Linear barcodes (one-dimensional) and increasingly two-dimensional (2D) barcodes (such as the Data Matrix) are used in healthcare.

Referring to medicines entering the hospital in accordance with the FMD: “The barcode shall be a machine-readable Data Matrix and have error detection and correction equivalent to or higher than those of the Data Matrix ECC200. Barcodes conforming to the International Organization for Standardisation/International Electrotechnical Commission standard (‘ISO/IEC’) 16022:2006 shall be presumed to fulfil the requirements”.

Barcode Medication Administration (BCMA): identification of medication at the bedside/point of care using barcode scanning.

Cardex: originally the proprietary name for a filing system for nursing records and orders that was held centrally on the ward and contained all the nursing details and observations on patients that had been acquired during their stay in hospital.

Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS): health IT, primarily used at the point of care, intended to improve healthcare delivery by enhancing medical decisions with targeted clinical knowledge, patient information and other health information. CDSS encompasses a variety of tools to enhance decision-making in the clinical workflow.

Computerised Physician Order Entry (CPOE): the process of a medical professional entering and sending medication orders and treatment instructions electronically via a computer application instead of on paper charts. This advantageous format reduces errors related to the ambiguity of handwriting or transcription of medication orders.

Dispensing medication: preparing medication for administration to the patient according to the prescription.

Double check (verification in a double procedure): making certain that an item or a process is correct or safe, usually by examining it again (four eyes principle). In the specific case of medication, checking if the prepared medication is correct according to the prescription before administering to the patient. Double check can be performed by another healthcare professional or by a safe system-check, such as an IT solution to support verification, like barcode scanning.

Electronic Medical Record (EMR): technology that enables the storage, retrieval and modification of health data using digital means instead of paper-based recording systems within one healthcare organisation or hospital. An EMR is a software application/system that replaces paper patient records, stores patient information digitally and makes this information available to authorised users in real time. Its purpose is to securely support care processes.

The EMR should interface with other IT systems, such as the laboratory information system, the hospital pharmacy information system, the electronic prescription system, the CPOE, with data back-up provided, etc.

EMRs can be either in-house developed or purchased from specialised EMR developers (who provide maintenance). Since clinical workflows and working habits vary from hospital to hospital, EMRs need to be customised to reduce the risks to patients from the handling of records by healthcare professionals (physicians, hospital pharmacists and nurses).

¹³ <https://www.gs1.org/standards/barcodes>

206
207 Electronic Prescription System: computer-based electronic generation, transmission and filling of a
208 medical prescription (including authorised access), replacing paper and faxed prescriptions.
209

210 Electronic Medical Record Adoption Model (EMRAM): the HIMSS EMRAM measures clinical outcomes,
211 patient engagement and clinician use of EMR technology to strengthen organisational performance and
212 health outcomes across patient populations. The internationally applicable EMRAM incorporates
213 methodology and algorithms to score a whole hospital, including in-patient, out-patient and day care
214 services provided on the hospital campus. EMRAM scores hospitals around the world relative to their
215 digital maturity, providing a detailed road map to ease adoption and begin a digital transformation
216 journey towards aspirational outcomes. Measuring evidence-based data at each stage, organisations
217 use EMRAM to optimise digital work environments, improve performance and financial sustainability,
218 build a sustainable workforce, and support an exceptional patient experience. Leveraging information
219 digitally improves patient safety and clinician satisfaction by reducing errors in care, length of stay for
220 patients and duplicated care orders, and streamlining the access and use of data to inform care
221 delivery.

222
223 Falsified Medicines Directive (Directive 2011/62/EU, FMD) and Commission Delegated Regulation (EU
224 2016/161: EU rules for the prevention of the entry into the legal supply chain of falsified medicinal
225 products. The FMD is implemented in EU member states.

226
227 Formulary: a hospital-specific selection of drugs/medications (covering all required therapeutic areas)
228 that can be used in the hospital to assist in the selection of the correct medication. A formulary may be
229 in printed or digital form.

230 In a hospital, the selection of pharmaceutical products, among which medications, is the responsibility of
231 Drugs and Therapeutics Committees, that are multidisciplinary teams in charge of selection and of
232 which the hospital pharmacist is an important member. Physicians take part in these committees to
233 discuss and decide on the final hospital formulary. Important considerations include existing national
234 formularies/list of medicines, characteristics and needs of specific patient populations, state-of-the-art
235 treatment, interactions, and the pharmaco-economic analysis. The in-hospital selected pharmaceuticals
236 are basis of the hospital formulary, that contains a list of medications most prescribed in the hospital
237 and serves as a guidance document for the prescribers.

238
239 This requires a formulary management system, continuous updating and attention to formulary
240 compliance (by the prescribers and the hospital pharmacists).

241
242 General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): regulation in force from 25 May 2018 in all member states
243 to harmonise data privacy laws across Europe¹⁴.

244
245 Hospital Information Management System (HIMS): a unique system that tracks all operations in a
246 hospital and often comprises a combination of software used for administrative purposes and software
247 used for clinical purposes by different professionals. Patient-related identification details, investigations,
248 laboratory and pathology results, operating room processes, hospital pharmacy operations and human
249 resources processes are included. Electronic medical records (EMRs) containing the medical and
250 nursing history of individual patients, can be part of the HIMS or can be connected via interfaces.

251
252 Healthcare professional: a trained and licensed professional, such as a Doctor of Medicine, a nurse
253 responsible for general care, a dental practitioner, a midwife or a pharmacist, or another professional
254 exercising activities in the healthcare sector.

255
256 Healthcare provider: often used interchangeably to refer to either an individual healthcare professional
257 or an organisation that offers healthcare services.

258
259 Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society (HIMSS)¹⁵: not-for-profit organisation that
260 develops IT standards¹⁶ to help reform the global health ecosystem. Among others, HIMSS drives the
261 adoption of standard-based interoperability to improve the way healthcare systems share information for
262 optimal care; and provides educational and professional opportunities to prepare the next generation of

¹⁴ <https://gdpr-info.eu>

¹⁵ www.himss.org/who-we-are

¹⁶ Clinical Supply Chain Outcome Model (CISOM): <https://www.himss.org/what-we-do-solutions/digital-health-transformation/maturity-models/clinically-integrated-supply-outcomes-model-cisom>
Electronic Medical Record Adoption Model (EMRAM): <https://www.himss.org/what-we-do-solutions/digital-health-transformation/maturity-models/electronic-medical-record-adoption-model-emram>

263 health information and technology leaders for the digital health workforce.

264 Identifier: a character or group of characters used to identify or name a series of associated data.
265 Digitalisation facilitates the readability, storage and exchange of the data in an identifier. A barcode is a
266 data carrier for identifiers.

267
268 Information technology (IT): a broad (still evolving) concept that covers any product that will store,
269 retrieve, manipulate, transmit or receive information electronically in a digital form (e.g. personal
270 computers, including smartphones, digital television, email and robots).

271
272 Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE): international not-for-profit organisation that has published
273 several documents on traceability of medicines in hospitals¹⁷.

274
275 Joint Commission International (JCI): US-based international organisation in healthcare/hospital
276 accreditation¹⁸.

277
278 Labelling: information on the immediate or outer packaging.

279
280 Medication error: any mistake in ordering, prescribing, dispensing, administering or monitoring (the
281 effect of) a medication.

282
283 Medication Ordering System: the system whereby a medical professional hand-writes prescriptions that
284 are sent to, transcribed by and checked by the hospital pharmacist.

285
286 Medical record: set of documents to register and store the health data of an individual patient. This will
287 consist of physicians' notes, nurses' notes, prescriptions, orders, laboratory and other test results, and
288 reports of interventions.

289
290 Medicinal product: any substance or combination of substances presented for treating or preventing
291 disease in human beings.

292
293 Patient safety: the prevention of errors and adverse effects to patients associated with healthcare.
294 While healthcare has become more effective over the years, it has also become more complex, with
295 greater use of new technologies, medicines and treatments. While these bring benefits, they can also
296 increase risks to patient safety.

297
298 Prescriber: a healthcare professional authorised (and often licensed) to prescribe a treatment and/or
299 medication, such as a physician, a midwife, a physician assistant and, in specific situations, a nurse.

300
301 Prescription¹⁹: instruction issued by a professional person qualified to do so (written or electronic) that
302 authorises a patient to be issued with a medicinal product or treatment. National policies on over-the-
303 counter and prescription-only medications may differ. In a hospital setting, the physician will prescribe
304 medication for the individual patient and the pharmacist will verify and support the dispensing process.

305
306 Primary packaging: the first layer containing the finished product, or the packaging that is in direct
307 contact with the product. In this document, it refers to the packaging of the medicinal product which is in
308 direct contact with the product and is marked with a data carrier either on the packaging or on a label
309 affixed to the packaging.

310
311 Single unit dose: (a package that contains) one unit of medication. A single unit dose can also be a
312 single vial or a medicine unit out of its blister pack.

313
314 Secondary packaging: the level of packaging that may contain one or more primary packages or a
315 group of primary packages containing a single item. Secondary packaging is the packaging that holds
316 together the individual units of a product. This type of packaging is used to group a certain number of
317 products to create a stock-keeping unit. It facilitates the handling of smaller products by collating them
318 into one pack.

319
320 Standards: rules that govern technology, behaviour and interaction. They are an agreed way of doing
321
322

¹⁷ <https://www.ihe.net>

¹⁸ <https://www.jointcommissioninternational.org>.

¹⁹ also called medication order

things, giving organisations a set of tools with the potential to help them perform better. Standards are different from regulation. Regulation is a rule or directive made and maintained by an authority.

Track-and-trace of medications: a process used to determine a medicinal product's current and past locations. When track-and-trace is correctly implemented, a drug can be tracked throughout the supply chain and traced back up the supply chain upon return or recall. A pharmaceutical track-and-trace system is a logistical technology that enables the tracking and localisation of a medicine throughout the supply chain. In the scope of this document, track-and-trace is limited to the in-hospital environment, from the pharmacy up to the point of administration to the patient.

Unit dose: the ordered amount of a drug in a dosage form prepared for a one-time administration to an individual, indicating the name, strength, lot and/or batch number. A unit dose is the amount of a medication administered to a patient in a single dose.

18. Further reading (to be finalised in next version)

1. Bates DW, Cullen DJ, Laird N, et al. Incidence of adverse drug events and potential adverse drug events. Implications for prevention. ADE Prevention Study Group. JAMA 1995; 274:29-34.
2. CD-P-PH, pharmaceutical practices and pharmaceutical care (EDQM); https://www.edqm.eu/en/pharmaceutical-practices-and-pharmaceutical-care?p_l_back_url=%2Fen%2Fweb%2Fedqm%2Fsearch%3Fq%3DCDPPH%252FPC%2Bguidanc%25E2%2580%2599s%2Bterminology
3. CPOE: Megan Charles, Alex DeVecchio, Brian Eastwood. TechTarget; Health IT; Updated October 2018: <https://www.techtarget.com/searchhealthit/definition/computerized-physician-order-entry-CPOE>
4. Cap Gemini Consulting: Report by order of Dutch Ministry of Health: 'Barcoding op de primaire verpakking van geneesmiddelen in ziekenhuizen; een kosten-baten analyse', 2017: <https://open.overheid.nl/documenten/ronl-archief-752101ad-99a9-444d-8d2c-af9e41491b9a/pdf>
5. Warren Connolly, Natasha Rafter, Ronan M Conroy, Cornelia Stuart, Anne Hickey, David J Williams: The Irish National Adverse Event Study-2 (INAES-2): longitudinal trends in adverse event rates in the Irish healthcare system, 2020, <https://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/30/7/547>
6. Cummings J, Bush P, Smith D, Matuszewski K (15 December 2005). "Bar-coding medication administration overview and consensus recommendations". American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy. 62(24): 2626–9.doi:10.2146/ajhp050222.PMID 16333061.
7. Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32001L0083>
8. EAHP on traceability for hospital pharmacist: <https://statements.eahp.eu/statements/statement-511>
<https://statements.eahp.eu/statements/final-statements>
- 9 EDQM: Resolution CM/Res(2020)3: on the implementation of pharmaceutical care for the benefit of patients and health services
10. European Commission; Presentation Patrizia Tosetti, DG Sante: Medicines verification in Europe, what to expect in 2019; https://health.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2016-11/201602_stakeholders_workshop_final_0.pdf

- 381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
11. [European Medicines Verification System \(EMVS\); European Pack Coding Guidelines, Version 4.0 July 2017: https://emvo-medicines.eu/new/wp-content/uploads/European-Pack-Coding-Guideline-V4_0.pdf](https://emvo-medicines.eu/new/wp-content/uploads/European-Pack-Coding-Guideline-V4_0.pdf)
 12. Expert Group on Safe Medication Practices. Creation of a better medication safety culture in Europe: Building up safe medication practices. 2006. http://optimiz-sih-circ-med.fr/Documents/Council_of_Europe_Medication_Safety_Report_19-03-2007.pdf
 13. Feargal McGroarty, St James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland, 2011-12: Barcoding on pharmaceutical packaging cuts costs and improves patient safety: https://www.gs1ie.org/download_files/healthcare_files/haemophilia_treatment_traceability_case_studyv2.pdf
 14. G-Standaard: the Dutch drug database which is used by all parties in healthcare in the Netherlands. The G-Standaard contains all the products that are dispensed by or used in the pharmacy. It enables an efficient exchange of information between healthcare parties. <https://www.z-index.nl/english>
 15. GS1 Healthcare:
 - Antonius Hospital makes safer medication a priority: <https://www.gs1.org/industries/healthcare/reference-books-library>
 - Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein continues its journey to full traceability of pharmaceuticals
 - Webinars: <https://www.gs1.org/industries/healthcare/hpac/webinars#tab-2018>
 - § Richard Price, EAHP, 2014: Bar coding medicines to the single unit administered in hospitals: EAHP's work towards a solution
 - § Thomas de Rijdt, UZ Leuven, Belgium, 2014: The missing link in hospital pharmacy
 - § Pieter Helmons: 2018: Yes we scan! Minimizing medication administration errors through successful change management, the Netherlands
 - § 2019: Bedside Scanning requires cultural change, Copenhagen, Denmark
 16. HealthManagement.org, 2015. Heidi Wimmers, Argentina: Why is Drug Traceability Important in a Hospital? <https://healthmanagement.org/c/icu/issuearticle/why-is-drug-traceability-important-in-a-hospital>
 17. HIMSS (Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society), EMRAM stage 7 validation Europe 2022: <https://www.himss.org/news/himss22-europe-celebrates-healthcare-systems-validated-emram-stages-6-and-7>
 18. Hogerwaard M, Stolk M, Dijk L, et al. Implementation of barcode medication administration (BMCA) technology on infusion pumps in the operating rooms. *BMJ Open Quality* 2023; Implementation of barcode medication administration (BMCA) technology on infusion pumps in the operating rooms. *BMJ Open Quality* 2023; [12:e002023. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-002023](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-002023).
 19. ICT&Health: barcodes on medication enhances patient safety, 2017: <https://icthealth.nl/nieuws/barcodes-op-medicijnverpakking-vergroot-patientveiligheid/>
 20. Institute of Medicine. Quality Chasm Series. Preventing Medication Errors. Washington DC: National Academy Press; 2007
 21. Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise (IHE):
 - Hospital Medication Workflow. 2019. https://wiki.ihe.net/index.php/Hospital_Medication_Workflow
 - IHE Pharmacy Profiles: https://www.ihe.net/ihe_domains/pharmacy
 - https://www.ihe.net/uploadedFiles/Documents/Pharmacy/IHE_Pharm_Suppl_MMA.pdf

- 502 35. W. van der Veen, dissertation: Information technology and medication safety, Groningen
503 Graduate School of Science and Engineering (GSSE), the University of Groningen, the
504 Netherlands, 2018;
505 [https://www.gildeprint.nl/flippingbook/Information%20Technology%20and%20Medication%20Safety](https://www.gildeprint.nl/flippingbook/Information%20Technology%20and%20Medication%20Safety/20/index.html)
506 [/20/index.html](https://www.gildeprint.nl/flippingbook/Information%20Technology%20and%20Medication%20Safety/20/index.html)
507
- 508 36. World Hospital and Health Services: International Hospital Federation Official Journal 2018
509 Volume 54 Number 4: several articles on barcoding, medication safety and traceability:
510 [https://region1.healthresearch.ph/index.php/research-news/145-r1hrdc-international-hospital-](https://region1.healthresearch.ph/index.php/research-news/145-r1hrdc-international-hospital-federation-official-journal-2018-volume-54-number-4)
511 [federation-official-journal-2018-volume-54-number-4](https://region1.healthresearch.ph/index.php/research-news/145-r1hrdc-international-hospital-federation-official-journal-2018-volume-54-number-4)
512
- 513 37. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), United Kingdom: Consultation
514 on point-of-care manufacturing: [https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/point-of-care-](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/point-of-care-consultation/consultation-on-point-of-care-manufacturing)
515 [consultation/consultation-on-point-of-care-manufacturing](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/point-of-care-consultation/consultation-on-point-of-care-manufacturing)
516
- 517 38. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA), United Kingdom:
518 [https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-introduce-first-of-its-kind-framework-to-make-it-easier-](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-introduce-first-of-its-kind-framework-to-make-it-easier-to-manufacture-innovative-medicines-at-the-point-of-care)
519 [to-manufacture-innovative-medicines-at-the-point-of-care](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/uk-to-introduce-first-of-its-kind-framework-to-make-it-easier-to-manufacture-innovative-medicines-at-the-point-of-care)